BQ-9000 Quality Management System

Producer Requirements



Revision 8
Effective Date: January 20, 2014
© 2009 National Biodiesel Board

This requirements document has been prepared by the National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission, an autonomous committee of the National Biodiesel Board, P.O. Box 104898, Jefferson City, MO 65110-4898, for use in a cooperative and voluntary program for the certification of producers of biodiesel. Compliance with these requirements is a minimum requirement for the certification process. The existence of this document does not in any respect preclude any entity from producing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to this standard. This document is subject to periodic review and revision control and users are cautioned to obtain the latest edition.

Table of Contents

Section	<u>Page</u>
Part A Program Requirements	
Scope	1
Terms and Definitions	1
References	2
Documentation Requirements	3
Management Responsibility	4
Operational Elements	5
Laboratories	6
Sampling and Testing	7
Remediation Elements	11
Fuel Blending	12
Product Load out	12
Producer Purchasing Biodiesel	12
Part B Policy Regulations (informative)	
Certification Process	14
Ranking Program Requirements	17
Certification and Suspension	18
Reconsideration and Appeal of NBAC Decisions	. 19
BQ-9000 Producer Provisional Status	21
BQ-9000 Producer Seeking Marketer Certification	. 23
BQ-9000 Producer Idle Production Plants	. 23
Change of Ownership and Acquisitions	25
Appendix Part A	
Pre-Audit Letter	. 27
Re-Certification Form	. 28

1 SCOPE

This document specifies requirements for a quality assurance program where an organization needs to:

- a) demonstrate its ability to provide product that meets ASTM D6751, Standard Specification for Biodiesel Fuel (B100) Blend Stock for Middle Distillate Fuels
- b) address quality assurance through the effective application of the program, including processes for corrective action and the prevention of nonconformity.

The requirements specified herein are applicable to biodiesel producers. The requirements are site and/or facility specific. If a producer operates multiple facilities or also acts as a marketer, only those locations approved by the Commission, and denoted within their application submission, can be included in production, marketing or distribution material that displays the BQ-9000 logo. The process control requirements are prescriptive, while the remaining clauses are descriptive.

2 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

For use in this program, the following terms and definitions apply. It has been found to be helpful for organizations to define in-house terms and definitions used within their quality assurance programs, but is not a requirement.

Note: The word **"shall"** indicates mandatory requirements of this document. The word **"should"** indicates a mandatory requirement with some flexibility allowed in compliance methodology. Those choosing other approaches to satisfy a "should" must be able to show that their approach meets the intent of these requirements.

- **2.1 ASTM:** ASTM International, originally known as the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), was formed over a century ago. ASTM International is one of the largest voluntary standards development organizations in the world. ASTM develops technical standards for materials, products, systems, and services.
- **2.2** *Biodiesel:* A fuel comprised of mono-alkyl esters of long chain fatty acids derived from vegetable oils or animal fats and meeting ASTM D 6751, designated B100.
- **2.3 Blend:** A blend of biodiesel with fuel oils in a specified ratio designated Bxx, where xx is the volume percent of biodiesel.
- **2.4 External Laboratory:** A laboratory that is not located on-site and/or that is operated by a third party, that the biodiesel organization can use to test product samples.
- **2.5 Internal Laboratory:** A laboratory that is operated or managed by the biodiesel organization and is located on site.
- 2.6 Marketer: An entity engaged in the business of the distribution and sale of biodiesel and/or biodiesel blends.
- **2.7 NBAC:** The National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission is an autonomous committee of the National Biodiesel Board that oversees and directs the BQ-9000 program.

- **2.8 Organization:** A producer or marketer of biodiesel or biodiesel blends.
- **2.9 Producer:** An organization involved in the production of B100 through assets under their direct control. Producers can either sell B100 or biodiesel blends of B99 or higher. The Producer must be registered with the EPA as a renewable fuels producer.
- **2.10 Production Lot:** A biodiesel production lot is a homogeneous production volume of finished biodiesel from one or more sources that is held in a single container where representative samples are taken and analyzed to provide an authentic certificate of analysis (COA) for the specific volume.
- **2.11 Quality Manual:** A document that describes the elements of the quality program used to assure that the requirements of this document are met.
- **2.12** *Quality Program:* The organizational structure, responsibilities, procedures, processes and resources necessary to manage quality.
- **2.13** *Verification:* Confirmation by examination and provision of objective evidence that specified requirements have been fulfilled.

3 REFERENCES

3.1 Normative References

The following references contain provisions which, through reference herein, constitute provisions of these requirements. All referenced documents are subject to revision, and all those applying these requirements are required to obtain and apply the most recent editions of the references indicated below.¹

ASTM D1298, Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity), or API Gravity of Crude Petroleum and Liquid Petroleum Products by Hydrometer Method

ASTM D4052, Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density of Liquids by Digital Density Meter

ASTM D4057, Standard Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products.

ASTM D4176, Standard Test Method for Free Water and Particulate Contamination in Distillate Fuels (Visual Inspection Procedures)

ASTM D6751, Standard Specification for Biodiesel Fuel Blend Stock (B100) for Middle Distillate Fuels.²

Form BQF-1, BQ-9000 External Laboratory Verification

Revision 8:

¹ ASTM documents are available from www.astm.org.

² Any approved alternative test methods listed in ASTM D6751 are acceptable for use in this program.

3.2 Informative References

The following reference is included as bibliographic information which may contain material useful in the application of this requirements document. Excerpts from this reference were used in the development of the requirements in this document.

ISO 9001:1994³, Quality Management Systems - requirements

4 DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

The quality management system documentation shall include:

- a) documented statement(s) of a quality policy
- b) a quality manual
- c) documented procedures required by the BQ-9000 Program
- d) records required by this standard

The organization shall establish and maintain a documented quality management system containing provisions which explicitly or by reference, include items that address the requirements contained in this document. The organization shall implement the newest revision of the BQ-9000 Producer Program Requirements into their quality management system within 90 days of the effective date of the latest revision. The organization shall notify and document their notification to the NBAC when these quality system changes have been made.

4.1 Quality Manual

The quality program shall be documented in a quality manual, which meets the requirements herein, including the means to ensure that the biodiesel production conforms to ASTM D6751, is appropriately blended and meets any customer specific requirements. The quality manual shall include, or make reference to, quality system procedures.

4.2 Quality Policy

A quality policy shall be defined and documented which includes the objectives for, and commitment to, quality. The quality policy shall be related to the business goals of the biodiesel operation and the expectations of its customers. The quality policy shall be invoked throughout the biodiesel operation and understood by all levels of personnel.

4.3 Quality System Procedures

Documented Quality System Procedures (QSPs) shall be prepared that describe the process to be employed for determining and documenting how operational quality requirements will be met and be consistent with the requirements herein. Procedures should make reference to work instructions that explicitly define how an activity is performed.

_

³ International Organization for Standardization (ISO). This material is reproduced from ISO 9001:1994 with permission of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) on behalf of ISO.

4.4 Document Control

The quality program shall contain provisions for maintaining and controlling BQ-9000 quality program related documents and records. Document Control shall have at least the following:

- a) A method of identifying the current document; such as revision letter, a revision date, or an effective date on each page of the document.
- b) A means to establish the document status; such as a form that lists all documents in the Quality System, and that defines the current revision of each document as defined in a) above and the effective date of the revision.
- c) A distribution list of those in possession of your controlled Quality Manuals.
- d) A method for controlling the distribution of new and updated sections of your Quality System Documents. This should include a mechanism to remind the recipients to destroy any copies of the obsolete documents. This is particularly important where forms are copied in advance of use.

4.5 Control and Retention of Records

Records shall be established and maintained to provide evidence of effective implementation, operation, and compliance of the organization's quality system. Records shall be retained for a minimum of two years. Records shall be legible, identifiable and accessible. The storage of quality records shall be done in a manner that ensures record integrity. This requirement does not supersede any federal, state or other requirement (regulatory or otherwise) for further retention of records.

5 MANAGEMENT RESPONSBILITY

5.1 Quality Management Representative

A quality management representative (QMR) shall be appointed and irrespective of other duties, should chair quality management review meetings, ensure that a quality program is established and that it meets the requirements herein, report on the performance of the quality program and ensure that the most recent version of the quality documents are made available to personnel.

5.2 Internal Quality System Audit

The organization shall develop and implement a system for performing internal quality audits. Internal quality system audits of each element of the quality system shall occur at a minimum of once per year to verify that the organization's operations comply with the requirements stated in its quality management system to determine the effectiveness of the quality program. Audits should be performed by persons other than those responsible for the area being audited. Audit frequency should be increased when Audit results indicate that increased frequency would be beneficial. Audit results shall be presented to personnel responsible for the audited area and cited nonconformities shall be resolved in a timely manner as defined in documented procedures. The audit process, nonconformance reports, corrective action plans, and effective corrective actions shall be referenced and obtainable through internal audit records.

5.3 Quality Management Review

Quality management review meetings shall be held at least once every six months and should be chaired by the QMR. Records shall be kept of the review meetings. The input to quality management review meetings should include information on the following:

- a) results of Internal Quality System Audits
- b) customer feedback, including a review of customer complaints
- c) process performance & product conformity
- d) status of preventive and corrective actions; along with discussions of root causes and effectiveness of implementations
- e) follow-up actions from previous quality management reviews
- f) changes that could affect the quality management system; such as discussions to optimize procedures and work instructions
- g) recommendations for improvement

6 OPERATIONAL ELEMENTS

6.1 Process Changes

A significant process change is one that could materially alter the composition of the product. The QMR shall be responsible for helping determine whether any change is considered significant. A record of those significant process changes, and the rationales for determining significance, shall be maintained by the organization. Significant changes typically prompt a change to a procedure that will also need to be documented.

The following are examples of what would be considered a process change:

- a) The use of substantially different ingredients (e.g., changing from methanol to ethanol);
- b) The use of substantially different feedstocks (e.g., changing from a low FFA to a high FFA feedstock);
- c) The use of new or modified equipment that now functions differently than what was replaced;
- d) Refurbishment of existing equipment if it now functions differently than what was replaced;
- e) Change of catalyst technology (changing from homogeneous to heterogeneous [fixed bed], or changing type of homogeneous catalyst (e.g., KOH to NaOH), or changing type of heterogeneous catalyst;
- f) Change in production technology (e.g., changing from batch to continuous processing); or

6.2 Production Lots

The organization shall develop documented procedures for identifying the product through a unique identification of individual product, batches, or lots as defined in Section 2. Each separate

lot shall be identified in a manner that corresponds to that particular volume of fuel. All production lots shall be tested as described herein. Production lots shall be managed so that once the lot is identified; no other product shall be introduced into the lot without reconfirming that it meets specification.

7 LABORATORIES

7.1 Laboratory Practices

Internal and external laboratories used by the organization shall implement the following practices:

- a) Shall have access to the current ASTM D6751 specification and of the test method(s) for the test(s) being conducted in the Producer's laboratory.
- b) Shall have all the required equipment and standards that are required for the testing that is being conducted.
- c) Shall calibrate the equipment and standardize reagents at least as frequently as required by the methods for testing product to ASTM D6751 specifications. If there is not a requirement for calibrations, then a lab specific schedule shall be established for each test method used by the laboratory. Calibrations and standardizations shall be documented.
- d) Shall have a training program that demonstrates competencies for testing product to ASTM D6751 specifications.
- e) At least once every four months, a laboratory shall execute a documented program to verify that their testing results are accurate by sending duplicate samples out to an independent laboratory, purchasing and testing standards, or actively participating in an ASTM (or equivalent) biodiesel cross check program.
- f) Shall determine, collect and monitor appropriate data to demonstrate the effectiveness of the testing performance; and address non-conformities through Corrective Actions.
- g) Shall maintain records that indicate which, if any, test results that were produced by an external laboratory.
- h) Analytical results shall reference the test method(s) utilized.

7.2 Laboratory Auditing Protocol

7.2.1 Internal Laboratories

Internal lab(s) audits shall be included as part of the Internal Quality System Audit (see 5.2).

7.2.2 External laboratories

Organizations using external laboratories shall have a completed and signed form BQF-1 for each external laboratory used with supporting documentation indicating their compliance with the requirements specified in 7.1. This form shall be completed annually (every 12 months) and shall be retained by the organization for a minimum of two years.

8 SAMPLING AND TESTING

Inspection, sampling and testing functions associated with the verification that specified product requirements are being met shall be defined in documented procedures. Such procedures shall include the types of inspection and testing performed and the records established by same. ⁴

The procedures for final inspection and testing shall require that all specified inspections and tests have been carried out and that all results meet specified requirements. The procedures for final inspection and testing shall, at a minimum, meet the requirements specified in Section 8. A procedure for control of nonconforming product shall be employed (see 9.1) when a lot fails to pass a required inspection or test.

8.1 Production Lot Homogeneity

Production Lot Homogeneity is required to ensure that separate shipments from a single production lot would be representative of the entire product in that production lot and of a single Certificate of Analysis (COA). Means must be in place (filling techniques for non-mechanically agitated tanks, a circulating loop, mechanical tank agitators, or process techniques) to insure this requirement is met.

Homogeneity is also required since the sampling method for the Cold Soak Filtration Test is very specific on minimizing the sample's contact with multiple sample containers (that could result with collecting multi-level samples and then combining them into a single sample).

8.1.1 Homogeneity Testing

Product homogeneity consistency is established after 5 consecutive production lots utilizing a single feedstock⁵ meet the Homogeneity requirements. Homogeneity is established by obtaining a representative sample from the tank, per the facilities sampling process, and also obtaining tank samples at the upper, middle and lower regions of the tank. ASTM D4057, Standard Guide for the Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products, addresses the issues relative to the sampling of tanks. All four samples shall conform to the Homogeneity requirements.

H	l om	oden	eitv	Rea	uiren	nents:
	10111	Ouci		1104	an cn	IUI IU.

Property	Test Method	Limits
Relative Density, or Density	ASTM D1298, or ASTM D4052	range 0.003

If a production lot consists of biodiesel made from different (but not pre-blended) feedstocks, where there is a difference in relative density of 0.003 or greater, or a cloud point difference of 5 degrees C (of the resulting biodiesel); testing shall be conducted on the resulting biodiesel production lot to determine product homogeneity.

If the production lot under either of the feedstock test conditions fails the homogeneity testing with the existing storage tank facilities, additional equipment must be installed or the processes revised to ensure all production lots achieve homogeneity prior to proceeding with any further testing.

⁴ Organizations should check with their laboratories to determine the optimal volume of fuel needed for testing the product and for acceptable shipping containers and procedures.

⁵ Single feedstock could include multiple sources that were pre-blended before entering the biodiesel production process. Pre-blending means blending feedstocks prior to the feedstock entering the first step in the production process.

8.2 Sampling

Production lots shall be sampled per the ASTM D4057 standard to gain a representative sample of the product. The preferred method is an all level sample or a sample from a sampling loop. In addition to samples obtained from production lots, representative biodiesel samples shall also be obtained from any subsequent storage tanks and loads leaving the facility. Representative samples shall be retained for a minimum of 60 days.⁶

8.3 Testing

All production lot samples shall be tested to assure that the customer delivered product shall be free from particulate matter, water, and unreacted material per test procedure, ASTM D4176 Free Water and Particulate Contamination in Distillate Fuels (maximum of 2). If the product is out of specification the appropriate corrective action shall be taken including documentation.

Further, each production lot of B100 shall be subjected to full specification testing (see 8.3.1) until there is sufficient confidence that the production process consistently produces product that meets ASTM D6751. The production of a minimum of seven consecutive lots that meet the standard may provide such a confidence level. Visual appearance results should be reported on the certificate of analysis along with the appropriate ASTM D6751 results. For cetane number, three consecutive lots that meet the standard establish confidence in the cetane number. Once this has been achieved, all production lots of B100 shall at a minimum be subjected to critical specification testing (see 8.3.2) and monthly testing (see 8.3.3).

Limited testing is not a guarantee of compliance with the full specifications. Additional testing, or confidence, may be necessary. It is expected that full specification testing would be necessary as a condition of the sale of exported product.

If a significant process change occurs (one that could materially alter the composition of the product, see 6.1), full specification testing shall resume until confidence in the production process is re-established. Confidence is re-established with the production of a minimum of three consecutive lots that meet the standard. For cetane number, one lot is needed.

If any of the production lot testing fails to meet specification, the production lot shall be isolated and the procedures for the control of nonconforming product shall apply (see 9.1). In cases where tighter limits exist for any property, those improved limits shall determine conformance.

8.3.1 Full Specification Testing

Full specification testing shall include testing to each of the limits defined in ASTM D6751 and the following:

Property	Test Method	Limits
Visual appearance	ASTM D4176 Procedure 2	2 max

-

⁶ Retained samples ("retains") shall be kept in an environmentally appropriate location to maintain the integrity of the sample for the period of time being retained. In most cases, retention of at least one liter of fuel should be sufficient. If it is anticipated that cetane number will need to be tested from the retained sample, then an additional liter of fuel would be needed.

When additional test properties are added to ASTM D6751 by ASTM, the organization shall test three consecutive production lots to verify that their product meets the new specification requirement. The organization shall keep a record of this testing. At such time, the NBAC will issue a notice to specify the necessary frequency of the additional tests.

8.3.2 Critical Specification Testing

Critical specification testing shall include testing performed on the production lot sample (except as noted in footnote 7) to each of the following limits, and provided on a CoA at the point of custody transfer:

Property	Test Method	Limits
Alcohol Control ⁷	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751
Water and Sediment, volume %	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751
Cloud point, °C	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751
Acid number, mg KOH/gm	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751
Free glycerin, % mass	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751
Total glycerin, % mass	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751
Monoglycerides, % mass	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751
Sulfur, ppm	Per EPA requirements	per EPA requirements
Oxidation Stability, hours	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751
Visual appearance	ASTM D4176 Procedure 2	2 max
Cold Soak Filterability Test, seconds	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751

At least once every six months, a production lot shall be selected for full specification testing. However, only one cetane number test per year is required.

8.3.2a Alternative Testing of Product for Markets Requiring EN 14214 Specifications

Alternatively, the Critical Specification Testing may be performed under the EN 14214 requirements. In such cases, the methods employed, and specifications used, shall be at least as stringent as those listed within the current version of D6751.

The critical testing for each production lot, at a minimum, shall include:

Property	Test Method	Limits
Methanol Content, % m/m	per EN 14214	per EN 14214
Water Content, mg/kg	per EN 14214	per EN 14214
Total Contamination, mg/kg	per EN 14214	per EN 14214
CFPP, °C	per EN 14214	per EN 14214
Acid Value, mg KOH/g	per EN 14214	per EN 14214
Free Glycerol, % m/m	per EN 14214	per EN 14214
Total Glycerol, % m/m	per EN 14214	per EN 14214
Sulfur Content, mg/kg	per EN 14214	per EN 14214
Oxidation Stability, hours	per EN 14214	per EN 14214
Monoglycerides, % m/m	per EN 14214	per EN 14214

⁷ In ASTM D6751 Alcohol Control can be met using one of the following tests. 1) Methanol Content using EN14110 with a limit of .2% max by mass, or, 2) Flash Point using ASTM D93 with a minimum temperature of 130 Degrees C. If performing Methanol Content for Alcohol Control, Flash Point must also be performed as part of the Monthly Specification Testing.

At least once every six months, a production lot sample shall be selected for full specification testing. However, only one Cetane Number test per year is required.

8.3.3 Monthly Specification Testing

Some specifications require monitoring less frequently than every production lot but more frequently than every six months. Specifications that fall into this category shall be tested monthly as part of production lot testing. This includes:

Property	Test Method	Limits
Sodium and Potassium, ppm	Per ASTM D6751 or	per ASTM D6751 or
	EN 14214	EN 14214
Calcium and Magnesium, ppm	Per ASTM D6751 or	per ASTM D6751 or
	EN 14214	EN 14214
Flash Point ⁷	Per ASTM D6751	per ASTM D6751

8.4 Certificates of Analysis (COA)

A COA shall be generated for each production lot and shall reference unique lot identification. When full specification testing has been performed on a lot, the COA shall contain a listing of each of the actual results. When critical specification testing has been performed on a lot, the COA shall contain a listing of each of the actual results of the critical testing. The results for the remaining specification parameters shall indicate they were not the results of tests performed on this particular production lot and are the results from the most recent Production Lot. The Certificate(s) of Analysis provided for any shipment shall represent the production lot(s) from which the shipment was filled. Any commingling of lots (see 8.5), or subsequent changes to a product batch or lot, requires appropriate retesting and documentation.

8.5 Commingling of Production Lots

Once production lots have been tested under the protocols outlined in Section 8.3, and have met the specification limits of the tests conducted, they can be commingled with other verified lots. However:

The cloud point of the commingled products shall be reported as either:

- 1) The highest cloud point of the products being commingled or
- 2) The measured cloud point of a representative blend

The oxidation stability shall be reported as either:

- 1) The lowest oxidation stability hours of the products being commingled or
- 2) The measured oxidation stability of a representative blend

The cold soak filterability shall be stated as either:

- 1) Meeting the higher of the 360 or 200 second filterability time limits of the products being commingled or
- 2) The measured Cold Soak Filterability of a representative blend

All additional properties for commingled production lots shall be reported as either:

- 1) The least favorable value of the products being commingled,
- 2) The measured value for a representative blend, or
- 3) The weighted average of the values of the products being commingled

Those results not obtained through physical testing shall be noted.

8.6 B100 Storage Tank Inactivity

If a biodiesel storage tank has no activity for 30 days, product shall not be shipped from the storage tank until an outlet sample is taken and tested for water and sediment and Oxidation Stability per ASTM D6751. If any of this testing fails to meet specification, the production lot shall be isolated and the procedures for the control of nonconforming product shall apply (see 9.1).

9 REMEDIATION ELEMENTS

9.1 Nonconforming Product

The organization shall develop documented procedures that will ensure that product is prevented from unintended use or shipment, particularly if it is found to be nonconforming. Controls shall be defined that provide for identification, documentation, evaluation, segregation (when practical), disposition of nonconforming product, and for notification to the functions concerned. Personnel with the authority to review and "sign-off" on the disposition of nonconforming product shall be identified and documented. The review of nonconforming product shall be conducted according to the documented procedures along with initiating a Corrective Action, as per Section 9.2. The disposition of nonconforming product may be categorized as:

- a) reprocessed to meet specification
- b) re-classified to another application, or
- c) rejected or destroyed.

If product is reprocessed, it shall be re-inspected and tested to assure it meets specification. The re-inspection shall be documented.

9.2 Corrective and Preventive Action Procedures

Corrective and Preventive Actions shall be managed through the use of documented procedures. Records shall be maintained for corrective and preventive actions. Corrective actions shall be issued in response to product quality nonconformities (including both internally identified and customer complaints related to product quality) and identified nonconformities related to processes of the Quality Management System (including internal and external audits).

For Corrective Actions, the procedure shall require the clear statement of the nonconformity; assignments of responsibility for definition and completion of the corrective action; identification of root cause; identification of the corrective action(s) that are intended to prevent recurrence of the nonconformity and verification of the effectiveness, at an appropriate interval following the implementation of the corrective action, of the action. Corrective actions shall not be closed until verification of effectiveness activities have been completed and recorded. Records of corrective actions shall be documented using a form that supports the above required processes.

For Preventive Actions, the procedure shall require the clear statement of the condition that could result in a nonconformity (either related to product quality or processes of the Quality Management System); assignments of responsibility for the definition and completion of the preventive action and the identification of the preventive action(s) that are intended to prevent the occurrence of the nonconformity. Records of preventive actions shall be documented via memos and /or the use of forms implemented for corrective actions.

Within these procedures, these forms shall have documented timeline requirements for their completion, review and verification.

10 FUEL BLENDING

10.1 Fuel Blending

Those Producers wishing to create Bxx blends, other than B99, and maintain their BQ9000 status, will need to also register and apply as a BQ9000 Marketer (see section 6.0 of the Part B Policy Regulations). B99 blends will be exempt from blend verification testing within the Producer program. However, during the process of creating a B99 blend, the blending operation shall be monitored to assure the correct proportions of the products. This includes measuring and recording the volumes and blend levels as verified through bills of lading, meter printouts or other auditable records of both the biodiesel and diesel fuel, which comprise the blend. These records shall be kept for a minimum of two years, or as necessary to meet any regulatory requirements.

11 PRODUCT LOADOUT

11.1 Truck, Railcar and Vessel Standards

Trucks, railcars and vessels shall be inspected prior to loading. If the previous load is suspected to have contained a product that would contaminate the biodiesel (e.g., gasoline, ethanol, methanol, lube oils, raw vegetable oil or animal fats), the procedures shall enable the Producer to document the course of action taken to prevent the contamination. This would include dyed products and products in excess of 15 ppm sulfur when the biodiesel is destined for ULSD applications. Each inspection shall be documented and retained per program requirements. The quality program shall provide cleanliness specification standards that address material and chemical compatibility issues.

Inspection and cleanliness standards shall exist for BQ9000 Producer supplied trucks, railcars and vessels used for distributing biodiesel. It shall be documented when contracted transportation companies are used, that they have been forwarded the company's cleanliness requirements on an annual basis. Customers that provide their own transportation shall also be made aware of the company's procedures annually. Any customer supplied transport that does not meet a company's cleanliness requirements, would still require documented approval to load.

12 PRODUCER PURCHASING BIODIESEL

12.1 Fulfilling a Purchase Contract

There may be times when a Producer wishes to fulfill a contract that is beyond their available production capacity. A biodiesel Producer may purchase up to a maximum of 10% of their yearly public production capacity for such situations.

12.2 Purchase Requirements of Biodiesel Product

- 1) A BQ-9000 Producer has two purchase options when purchasing Biodiesel:
 - Purchase Biodiesel from an accredited BQ-9000 Producer / Marketer
 In this circumstance the Producer buying biodiesel can accept the (selling) Producer's or Marketer's Certificate of Analysis (CoA) and can immediately resell this purchased

BQ-9000 Producer Policy Regulations

biodiesel. Or the Producer can offload the product into storage per the Producer Program Requirements 8.5 Commingling of Production Lots.

- Purchase biodiesel from a non BQ-9000 Source
 Under this circumstance the Producer buying the biodiesel must ensure that a complete
 CoA to verify that the full D6751 analysis was performed by an independent lab (not by the selling Producer or Marketer's lab), or can be performed by the Purchaser's internal lab.
 Satisfactory test results are required before the purchased biodiesel can be resold or commingled with additional product.
- 2) The Producer shall document from whom the biodiesel fuel was purchased, their BQ-9000 status, and the amount of fuel received. The producer shall only accept product transfer documents that include an EPA registration number for the seller.
- 3) In any case, a representative sample of the product purchased shall be taken and retained for a minimum of 60 days. This sample shall be tested per ASTM D4176 (Procedure 2, Maximum Value of 2), in addition to any other required analysis mentioned previously.

12.3 Producer Limits on Purchasing and Blending Biodiesel

If a BQ-9000 Producer wishes to exceed the volumes specified in section 12.1, the Producer must become a BQ-9000 Marketer (see section 6.0 of the Part B Policy Regulations). If the BQ-9000 Producer wishes to sell blends lower than B99, the Producer must seek BQ-9000 Marketer certification. BQ-9000 Marketer certification is required since the program requirements for marketing and blending operations involve different product testing, validations and recordkeeping required to maintain the product integrity, than are included within the BQ-9000 Producer program requirements.

Additional policies and regulations for the Program may be found within the following Part B.

Part B Policy Regulations

1.0 CERTIFICATION PROCESS

1.1 Initial Certification

The initial certification process is described in the NBAC BQ-9000 Application Package document. The date that the NBAC Commission approves the BQ-9000 certification for an applicant becomes the anniversary date of their certification. Approximately one year from their certification anniversary date, an on-site Surveillance Audit will be held. Approximately two years from their certification anniversary date a second Surveillance Audit will be held. At the end of three years, the organizations BQ-9000 certification expires. If the organization wishes to continue in the BQ-9000 certification program, they must reapply and complete a re-certification audit before their certification expiration date.

1.2 Surveillance Audit

The Surveillance Audit is a one day on-site audit where the Auditor reviews the program elements of the BQ-9000 organization. This audit is to verify that the BQ-9000 certified organization continues to comply with the requirements of the BQ-9000 program including any changes in the Program Requirements since the last audit.

A second Surveillance Audit is required within two years from their original certification date.

1.3 Re-Certification Renewal

At 3 years from the original certification date, an organizations BQ-9000 certification expires. The organization may request to continue in the program and must go through a Recertification Audit. A Recertification Audit is a one and one half day audit similar to the initial Certification Audit in that the auditor looks at all elements of the organizations quality system.

See section 1.4 for pre-audit requirements and section 1.5 for post-audit requirements and a description on how the audit information is processed by the Commission.

An Auditor is assigned to perform a certification (or recertification) audit and the following two surveillance audits as well as any interim follow-up audits. When the organization is up for its next recertification audit, a new auditor may be assigned to get a second perspective on the organizations performance of its quality system. However, an organization may request the same auditor for a second cycle of 3 years only once following hteir initial certification.

At least 6 months prior to the expiration of the BQ-9000 certification, the NBAC will provide the certified organization a notice that its certification is about to expire. The notice will be accompanied by a renewal application. The NBAC must receive the renewal application and the current version of the organization's quality manual at least 4 months before the certification has expired. The recertification audit is scheduled to allow the organization to process any nonconformances, and allows NBAC time to review the audit and approve recertification before the organization's current certificate expires. The same cycle of Surveillance Audits will then follow the recertification.

A copy of the Recertification Application Form is in the Appendix at the end of this section.

1.4 Pre-audit Requirements: Surveillance and Recertification Audits

Prior to a scheduled Surveillance Audit or Recertification Audit, the BQ-9000 organization shall:

1) Have completed their annual Internal Audit and have generated an Audit Report.

- 2) Sometime after this Internal Audit, the QMR should have held a Management Review Meeting to discuss how the organization is performing under their quality system and to discuss the status of any nonconformances from their Internal Audit.
- A copy of the Internal Audit and the most recent two Management Review Meeting minutes must be forwarded to the NBAC Auditor prior to the upcoming Surveillance or Recertification Audit.

1.5 Post-audit Requirements: Surveillance and Recertification Audits

Prior to the close of the audit, the Auditor will produce a written audit report, noting any nonconformances, deficiencies and areas of concern. The report will be submitted to the organization's quality management team at the close of the audit for the organization's review and comment.

At the time of the closing meeting, if the QMR or a member of the organization's quality management team believes that a cited nonconformance is the result of different interpretations of a program element, and cannot settle the issue with the Auditor, the QMR can take the following path: Within five days of the closing meeting, contact the NBAC Program Manager at the National Biodiesel Board office and provide written information concerning the interpretation of the specific program element(s) and the organization's position on the nonconformance. The Program Manager will meet with the NBAC Chairman and one or more NBAC Commissioners to review the program requirements and may discuss with the Auditor, their interpretation of the requirement. This NBAC group will make a determination of the correct interpretation and will normally inform the organization of their decision within ten days of the receipt of the information from that organization.

The organization is required to submit an action plan to the Auditor within 30 days of the audit date describing how the organization will address each of the identified nonconformances. The organization must submit a Corrective Action form and at a minimum must supply the following information to the Auditor:

- The nonconformance stated
- The root cause of the nonconformance
- The action plan to address the nonconformance
- The person responsible for executing the action plan
- The expected time to complete the corrective action
- An approval signature of the QMR or responsible management person who is approving this specific action plan

In the Appendix is a sample letter that may be sent out to BQ-9000 organizations prior to an upcoming Surveillance Audit or Recertification Audit that discusses these requirements.

If the organization satisfactorily completes their corrective actions on their nonconformances within 30 days of their just completed audit date, the organization is not required to submit their action plans.

Evidence that corrective actions have addressed the nonconformances can usually be mailed or emailed to the Auditor. Under unusual circumstances a follow-up visit may be required to confirm the corrective action of a specific nonconformance.

All nonconformances are expected to be closed within 60 days of the audit date. The Commission recognizes that there may be a specific nonconformance that cannot be closed within

60 days; and this will be acceptable if the Auditor is informed of such when the organization issues it action plans. An Auditor may reject one or more of the organization's action plans if the plan(s) does not fully address the nonconformance(s). The organization must then revise and resubmit this action plan to the Auditor.

If an organization cannot reach an agreement with the Auditor on an action plan for a specific nonconformance and has submitted at least two action plans to remedy the nonconformance, the organization's QMR or designated representative can take the following path: Within five days of the rejection of a second submitted action plan for a nonconformance, contact the NBAC Program Manager at the National Biodiesel Board office and provide written information concerning the validity of the proposed action plan(s) for this nonconformance. The Program Manager will meet with the NBAC Chairman and one or more NBAC Commissioners to review the submitted action plan(s) as it relates to the cited nonconformance, and may discuss with the Auditor, their position on the proposed action plan(s). This NBAC group will make a determination on the proposed action plan(s) and will normally inform the organization of their decision within ten days of the receipt of the information from that organization.

If the organization delays closing their nonconformances beyond the 60 days (except in the circumstance noted in the above paragraph) requiring further Auditor attention, the organization may be charged \$150 per hour for the extra time that the Auditor had to spend on the audit.

If after 60 days from the audit date, the Auditor has not received any communication pertaining to the action plans or corrective actions for this audit, the organization will receive a warning letter from the National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission stating that the organizations nonconformance must be completed within the next 30 days or their certification will be suspended – if this is a surveillance audit; or their request for recertification will be denied – if this is a recertification audit.

The section **Ranking Program Elements**, describes how the Auditor evaluates the organization's execution of each program element in determining conformance to the requirements.

When the auditor has verified that all nonconformances have been closed through corrective action, a final audit report will be submitted to the NBAC.

The organization may submit written comments on this final report by submitting them to the Commission chair up to one week after receiving the final audit report from the Auditor. The Commission normally holds monthly meetings and tries to respond to Auditor's reports and recommendations within 30 days.

Upon receipt of the Audit Report the Commission will set a meeting to vote on certification status of the organization. The Commission may request to have the Auditor present telephonically during its review to answer questions about the audit report. After dismissing the Auditor, the Commission will perform a final review the Audit Report and any written comments. The Commission can then proceed with one of the following actions:

- Based on a satisfactory Certification or Recertification Audit Report, vote to approve certification or recertification.
- Based on the review of a satisfactory Surveillance Audit Report, allow certification to continue.
- Based on the need for additional facts, vote to postpone the certification status decision and require additional information.

- Based on the determination that the organization needs significant improvement in the
 execution of their quality system, vote to postpone certification status and require a followup audit. This follow-up audit will take place after the organization has had an opportunity
 to improve their quality system performance. A vote on the certification status will then
 take place after the results from the follow-up audit have been reviewed.
- Based on the determination that the organization's performance was unsatisfactory, vote to suspend the organization's certification. A follow-up audit is recommended. The Commission can then vote on reconsideration of the suspension based on the results of the follow-up audit.
- Based on the determination that the organization's performance was poor, vote to revoke the organization's certification.

If the Commission determines additional facts are necessary, the Commission may postpone the determination for no longer than sixty (60) days. If not, the Commission shall proceed to determination. The determination will be accomplished by a vote of the commission, with each commissioner having one vote. A majority of the commissioners must vote affirmatively on the certification request for certification to be granted. If certification is not granted, the basis for the denial, identifying all material deficiencies, will be sent to the applicant in writing.

If a follow-up audit is required, the audit fee and travel expenses shall be paid by the organization.

If the organization believes that they have received an adverse decision from the NBAC Commission, the organization may use the Reconsideration or Appeal process to address their concerns. The details for Reconsideration and Appeal are found in the section **Reconsideration** and **Appeal Process for NBAC Decisions**.

1.6 Audit Scheduling

Under most circumstances, the two Surveillance Audits and the next Recertification Audit shall be scheduled as noted above. Any interim follow-up audit between these required audits shall not change the due dates of the Surveillance and Recertification Audits.

Normally at the close of a Surveillance Audit or Recertification Audit, the next audit should be scheduled. This is required even if the next audit is a Recertification Audit and the Auditor might be changed. In such a case, the Auditor will report to NBAC when the Recert Audit is scheduled. If a new Auditor is assigned, and cannot accommodate that specific date, the new Auditor will contact the organization and establish a mutual audit date.

1.7 Audit Fee Structure

See the BQ-9000 website for details on the fee structure for each type of audit.

2.0 Ranking Program Requirements

The NBAC Commission has established a ranking of each required program element specified in the BQ-9000 Quality Management System Producer Requirements manual. The ranking system is integral to identifying deficiencies in quality management systems. Each element is identified as Critical, Major or Minor. A Critical element is essential to the successful operation of the organizations quality system. A Critical program element not being executed by an organization is a significant indicator that the organization is not focused on the BQ-9000 quality system requirements. Examples would be lack of an internal audit or insufficient quality management review meetings. A Major program element not being executed is a significant indicator that

activities like data gathering and record keeping is not happening, and thus there are no evidence that the organization is meeting specific program requirements. Examples would be not completing Product Loadout forms, or not properly testing product or not training personnel who run ASTM tests. A Minor program element is important in that it supports Major or Critical program requirements. An example would be the requirement to review customer satisfaction at a Quality Management Review Meeting.

During the BQ-9000 audit, the Auditor will look at each required program element and determine whether the element is being executed satisfactorily, is being executed less than satisfactorily or is missing all together. The number and ranking of each deficiency identified in an audit will assist the NBAC Commissioners when they evaluate BQ-9000 certifications.

3.0 Certification Suspension and Revocation

3.1 Suspending BQ-9000 Producer, BQ-9000 Marketer or BQ-9000 Laboratory StatusNBAC may suspend BQ-9000 Producer, Marketer or Laboratory status and remove a company's name from the list of BQ-9000 companies at www.bq9000.org for any of the following reasons:

- 1. Failure to follow the approved quality management system, policies, or procedures resulting in a major nonconformance without implementing corrective action;
- 2. Denying access to an operation's facilities and records to the BQ-9000 auditors within the scope of the requested certification;
- 3. Failure to pay NBAC required fees;
- 4. Failure to respond to NBAC corrective actions in the timeframe provided; or
- 5. A production facilities falls under the suspension criteria in Policy 5.0 Idled Production Plants.

NBAC will notify the organization in writing of the suspension and the actions required to regain BQ-9000 status, including a deadline for the specified actions. Once suspended, entities will have 180 days to remedy the reasons for suspension with a reassessment audit. If the suspended entity does not respond to the NBAC's initial notification of suspension within thirty (30) days, the entity's BQ-9000 status will be revoked. Information provided will not include specific remedies to violations.

If an organization's actions or lack of actions do not meet the criteria described above, the NBAC may issue a Warning Letter to an organization that their continued certification is in jeopardy. The organization shall receive a recommendation to initiate some action such as hiring a consultant to help them better develop their program or to better execute their program, perform some internal training, and/or scheduling an interim follow-up audit that could demonstrate their improved performance under their quality system.

3.2 Reinstatement of Suspended BQ-9000 Certification

BQ-9000 status for companies will remain suspended for reasons 1, 2 and 4 above until an on-site audit verifies that effective corrective action has been taken. Final decisions on the suitability of corrective action and the company's eligibility for reinstatement are at the discretion of the NBAC.

BQ-9000 status for companies suspended for failure to pay fees will be reinstated when all outstanding fees and interest have been paid in full.

BQ-9000 status for companies suspended due to an idled production plant may be reinstated after the plant begins production and specific quality system requirements are met as defined in section **7.0 Idle Production Plants**.

3.3 Revoking BQ-9000 Certification

NBAC may revoke BQ-9000 status and remove an organization's name from the list of approved organizations at www.bq9000.org for any of the following reasons:

- 1. Repeated failure to maintain its system in conformance with the requirements of this directive and the approved quality system:
- 2. Failure of a suspended operation to meet conditions for reinstatement within the required timeframe;
- 3. Failure to respond to NBAC in given timeframe;
- 4. Willful violation of Federal or State regulations relevant to BQ-9000 standards;
- 5. Deliberate misrepresentation of the products or services distributed under a BQ-9000 system;
- 6. Fraudulent use of BQ-9000 Logo in advertising and promotional material; or
- 7. A production facilities falls under the revocation criteria in Policy 5.0 Idled Production Plants.

NBAC will notify organizations in writing of the revocation. Companies whose approval has been revoked may reapply for BQ-9000 certification after a period of one year.

Organizations may request Reconsideration or Appeal of an adverse decision by the NBAC. See section **Reconsideration and Appeal of NBAC Decisions**.

3.4 License Use of BQ-9000 Logo

The NBAC's License Agreement with an organization is coextensive with their BQ-9000 certification per NBAC License Agreement, section 3. Thus when an organization's certification is suspended or revoked, the organization must remove the BQ-9000 logo from the organization's materials and cease to use it. An organizations continued use of the BQ-9000 logo beyond the suspension or revocation date will be actionable as unlawful infringement of intellectual property. The organization's name will be removed from the List of BQ-9000 Producers or Marketers or Laboratories on the NBAC website, but no other steps will be taken by the Commission to publicize this step.

4.0 Reconsideration and Appeal of NBAC Decisions

4.1 Reconsideration of Adverse Decision

An applicant denied certification has thirty (30) days after the Commission's adverse decision in which to submit a written request for reconsideration of a denied certification or other adverse decision to the National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission. The Commission will grant Reconsideration if it believes there is a genuine issue of fact material to the decision it made. The reconsideration request must identify the elements of the audit report or other basis for the Commission decision alleged to be inaccurate or otherwise erroneous. The applicant may attach written evidence of the alleged inaccuracy or error. Before acting on Reconsideration, the

Commission reserves the right to submit to the applicant additional questions in writing or to direct further investigation by its staff or auditor, and to consider also the evidence so acquired.

The question upon Reconsideration shall be exactly the same question as upon original consideration: Shall the Application be approved? The vote required shall be the same as upon original consideration: A majority of the Commissioners in office. In its vote upon Reconsideration, the Commission shall, weighing the evidence before it, act upon the basis of the evidence presented. The Commission's decision shall contain findings of fact and conclusions based thereon.

4.2 Appealing NBAC Rulings

A party aggrieved by a decision of the Commission upon Reconsideration may appeal that decision to an Appeal Board if within twenty (20) calendar days of the decision upon Reconsideration the party writes a letter to the Commission stating the grounds for appeal, requesting a hearing, and enclosing a fee of \$2,000 to offset the Commission's miscellaneous costs incurred in the Appeal.

Upon request of such letter, the Commission shall appoint an independent Appeal Board of three industry experts: Preferably, one from academia, one from government, and one from private industry.

The Commission shall forward to each Appeal Board member the entire record of the matter appealed. The record on appeal shall consist of: All documents in the possession of the Commission with respect to Appellant at time of Reconsideration. The Appeal Board's decision shall be based solely on the record; no additional evidence shall be considered.

The Appeal Board shall:

- Within five days of appointment, elect a Chair;
- Within ten days of appointment, receive and thoroughly review the record;
- Invite the Appellant to submit any further written argument, to be received no later than fourteen (14) days after appointment;
- Thoroughly review any written argument submitted;
- Schedule a telephonic hearing on the appeal, to be conducted no later than thirty (30) days after appointment.

At the oral argument session, the Commission shall be represented by its Chair or its counsel. The appellant may appear in person or be represented by counsel. Each party may make an oral statement of no longer than ten minutes. There shall be no further evidence introduced; however each party shall, during oral argument, respond fully and faithfully to questions from the Appeal Board. There shall be no direct or cross-examination by the parties.

After all questions from the Appeal Board have been answered, the Board shall dismiss the parties and reach a decision. The Board may affirm the Commission decision, reverse the Commission decision, or remand to the Commission for further action in accord with the Board's decision. The Board shall reverse or remand it if it determines that the decision of the Commission was not supported by substantial evidence in the record before the Commission when reviewed as a whole. The Board shall affirm it if it determines that the decision of the Commission was supported by substantial evidence in the record before the Commission when viewed as a whole. The Appeal Board Chair shall write the decision and send it to both parties. The written decision of the Appeal Board shall be final.

5. 0 BQ-9000 Producer Provisional Status

5.1 Background

When an organization becomes certified as a BQ-9000 Producer, the certification applies only to that specific facility. As the Producer becomes more established, they may want to have other production facilities owned by the parent organization to become BQ-9000 certified. The following information provides guidance on how these facilities can become certified.

A BQ-9000 Producer shall not imply or represent that an additional production facility owned by the Producer falls under an existing BQ-9000 certification. Nor shall the Producer imply or represent that any other production facility has met the BQ-9000 requirements unless the Producer has received BQ-9000 Producer certification for that particular site.

The NBAC recognizes that an existing BQ-9000 Producer's successful implementation of their quality management system demonstrates commitment to the BQ-9000 program and an understanding of the challenges of producing product from multiple production plants. The NBAC has created a Producer Provisional designation to expedite the recognition of additional production facilities that the organization wants to become BQ-9000 certified.

In the normal BQ-9000 certification process a biodiesel production facility must operate for six months under their quality management system before they can be audited by NBAC to seek full BQ-9000 certification. This allows for sufficient time for the production facility personnel to become ingrained in the program elements of the BQ-9000 Producer requirements, generate production and test data, and allows sufficient time for the QMR to gain experience in managing their quality management system. When an organization wants its other biodiesel production facilities to become BQ-9000 certified, the organization implements its existing BQ-9000 Producer quality management system into these other production facilities. This allows these other facilities to qualify under the BQ-9000 Producer Provisional Status and can be recognized as a BQ-9000 production facility and can use the BQ-9000 logo before completing the six months operational requirements and its certification audit.

5.2 Eligibility Requirements

- a) If the BQ-9000 Producer has operated under the BQ-9000 program long enough to satisfactorily complete one Surveillance Audit, the corporation may seek Producer Provisional Status for an additional facility or facilities.
- b) If more than one new facility is seeking Provisional Status, each facility is handled separately.
- c) Under normal circumstances Producer Provisional Status is granted for a period not to exceed twelve months. After six months, this production facility must have a satisfactory certification audit for full certification. Otherwise the facility loses its Provisional Status and may no longer use the BQ-9000 logo for this facility.

5.3 Producer Provisional Status Prerequisites

There are a certain number of prerequisites that must be completed before NBAC can award Provisional Status. These prerequisites should take approximately three months. A corporation requesting provisional certification for an additional production facility shall complete the following steps:

- The BQ-9000 Producer shall submit a written request to the NBAC requesting permission to pursue Provisional BQ-9000 Producer status for a specific facility;
- 2. Submit a completed application, and the appropriate Provisional Status fees;

- 3. The new Producer organization shall submit copies to NBAC of any documented work instructions or procedures that are specific to this new Producer organization that are not currently in the parent Producer's quality manual;
- 4. Complete full specification testing as required in Section 8 of the BQ-9000 Producer Program Requirements:
- 5. The initial, appointed QMR shall be from the parent organization for a minimum of 6 months;
- 6. Conduct at least one internal audit. The internal audit shall be completed under the supervision of the internal auditor or QMR either of which is from the existing BQ-9000 certified facility;
- 7. Hold at least one quality management review meeting led by the QMR from the existing BQ-9000 certified facility;
- 8. Submit the data from the full spec testing, a copy of the internal audit report and a copy of the Management Review Meeting to the NBAC appointed auditor for verification.

After verification that the prerequisites have been met, NBAC auditor shall make a recommendation to the National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission on the facility's request for Provisional Status. The NBAC shall then vote on awarding Producer Provisional Status to the specified facility.

While the new Producer organization is operating under the Provisional Status, it must operate under its parent's quality manual. If the new Producer plans to operate under its own quality manual, the organization can develop its own manual during this time. The new Producer's quality manual must be submitted to the NBAC Auditor so the Auditor can perform a Desk Audit of the new manual prior to the organization's certification audit. The new Producer cannot operate under its new quality manual until it has been approved by the NBAC Auditor.

5.4 Provisional Status Fee

The fee for an organization seeking Producer Provisional Status is identical to the Application Fee of any organization seeking BQ-9000 Producer Certification.

5.5 Steps to Full BQ-9000 Producer Certification

- 1. The facility must operate under their quality management system for a minimum of six months after receiving Provisional Status;
- 2. During the time in which the new Producer organization is operating under the Provisional Status, the Producer's quality system shall be managed by the personnel involved in managing the quality management system of the parent BQ-9000 certified Producer.
- 3. The facility must complete a satisfactory On-Site registration audit by the NBAC appointed auditor:
- 4. Resolve all nonconformances identified during the registration audit;

After all nonconformances have been successfully closed out, the NBAC auditor shall issue an Audit Report to the National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission on the facility's request for full BQ-9000 Producer certification. The NBAC shall then vote on awarding the organization full BQ-9000 Producer certification.

5.6 Audit Cycles

- 1. The audit dates of the existing BQ-9000 Producer do not change with the addition of the new BQ-9000 Producer.
- 2. The audit scheduling of the new BQ-9000 Producer is totally independent of the audit dates of the existing BQ-9000 Producer. The new BQ-9000 Producer is treated as an independent organization and its audit scheduling is based on when the new Producer achieves full BQ-9000 Producer certification.

6.0 BQ-9000 Producer Seeking BQ-9000 Marketer Certification

6.1 Requirements

If a BQ-9000 Producer wishes to exceed the volumes specified in section 12.0 of Part A, Producer Requirements, on Producers Purchasing Biodiesel, or if the Producer wishes to sell blends lower than B99, the Producer must seek BQ-9000 Marketer certification.

6.2 Seeking Marketer Certification

The process for a BQ-9000 Producer to become a BQ-9000 Marketer is no different than any other marketer wanting to become BQ-9000 certified. An application must be filed, fees paid, a marketing quality manual must be developed based on the BQ-9000 Marketer Requirements manual, and audits executed in the same manner as for BQ-9000 Producer certification.

6.3 BQ-9000 Producers Who Have Received Their BQ-9000 Marketer Designation

BQ-9000 Producers who have received their BQ-9000 Marketer certification but:

- have not marketed or distributed B99 or B100 purchased from a third party supplier or;
- have not sold biodiesel blends of B98 or lower:

have one year from the date of their BQ-9000 Marketer certification to perform these activities under their BQ-9000 Marketer quality management system. If no BQ-9000 Marketer activity has been recorded during this year, then the company shall forfeit their BQ-9000 Marketer Status.

7.0 BQ-9000 Producer Idled Production Plants

7.1 Background

BQ-9000 certification is granted for a period of three years and may be renewed. However, a company undergoes annual surveillance audits to verify that they are maintaining and using their quality management system. The National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission (NBAC) expects that BQ-9000 companies are continuously operating within the scope of their quality management system.

Nevertheless, a BQ-9000 Producer may choose to shut down or idle their plant for an extended period of time due to any number of factors like poor economic conditions, major plant retooling or labor issues. The questions that arise from this temporary change in operating status are "How does a plant shutdown or idling affect a company's BQ-9000 status?" and "Are there any requirements for restarting the production facility?"

Plant shutdowns may affect plant staffing, quality management system maintenance and employee familiarity with the system. As a result, the effectiveness of the company's quality management system may be impacted. Therefore, the Commission has outlined the following quality management system restarting verification requirements for a production facility after a shutdown. These requirements are based upon the shutdown category classification.

7.2 Definitions

Category I Shutdown: The facility is not producing biodiesel for 60 days but the quality management system is still being followed and maintained and the quality management representative (QMR), biodiesel plant manager, and possibly the lead operator all retain their positions.

Category II Shutdown: The facility is not producing biodiesel and either the quality management system is not being followed and maintained, or the entity has been under Category I for greater than 180 days. The QMR and the plant manager are still part of the organization but are on other assignments.

Category III Shutdown: The facility is not producing biodiesel and the quality management system is not being followed and maintained. The QMR and/or plant manager are no longer part of the biodiesel operations.

7.3 Guidance

Category I Shutdown:

A company enters Category I Shutdown for less than **180** days but greater than 60 days shall complete the following requirements.

- During the shutdown period the company shall maintain product and tank testing requirements outlined in the quality management system;
- After the 90th day they shall test stored product for all critical parameters prior to shipment;
- Prior to startup the company shall hold an abbreviated Quality Management Review Meeting to focus on startup issues such as refresher training, sampling and testing. All affected personnel shall have had refresher training;
- If the organization has been in a Category 1 Shutdown for at least ninety days, within sixty days after startup the company shall hold an internal audit to verify the effectiveness of the quality system;
- A full quality management review meeting shall then be held within 30 days after the internal audit;
- NBAC shall receive notification of this classification.

No on-site NBAC Audit is required at the time of start-up and the company's BQ-9000 status is maintained. Future Surveillance audits shall be scheduled within a year from the subsequent external audit.

After **180** days of no producing activity, the company enters Category II Shutdown.

Category II Shutdown:

- BQ-9000 status is suspended; For entities interested in eventual recertification, the following must be adhered to:
- Prior to shipping stored product from their tanks, the biodiesel shall be sampled and tested for all critical parameters which are identified in the Part A section on Program Requirements;
- The first production lot produced at startup shall receive full Biodiesel ASTM D6751 testing (except Cetane number) and must meet the specifications.
- Prior to startup they shall hold a Quality Management Review Meeting to focus on the startup issues such as refresher training, sampling and testing. All affected personnel shall have had refresher training;
- Within sixty days after startup the company shall hold an internal audit to verify the effectiveness of the quality system;
- Another quality management review meeting shall be held within 30 days after the internal audit;
- An external audit shall be scheduled and occur within 6 months of startup:
- NBAC shall receive notification of this classification.

The next Surveillance Audit shall be scheduled within a year from the date of this new external audit.

Category III Shutdown:

• BQ-9000 status is revoked. (refer to section 3.3)

8.0 Change of Ownership and Acquisitions

8.1 Background

As the biodiesel industry expands, it is possible that biodiesel companies will acquire other biodiesel facilities (producer, marketer, or laboratory). This policy provides guidelines to ensure that the ownership changes are properly accounted for when it involves an organization with a BQ-9000 certification.

8.2 Ownership Changes and Acquisition Scenarios

There are three common scenarios that involve ownership change or acquisition.

- The BQ-9000 organization is purchased by another BQ-9000 organization.
- The BQ-9000 organization is purchased by an organization that does not have a BQ-9000 certification.
- The BQ-9000 organization purchases a non BQ-9000 organization and wants it to become BQ-9000 certified.

A BQ-9000 organization involved in an ownership change must meet requirements outlined as follows to maintain BQ-9000 certification.

In the first scenario, if the acquired BQ-9000 organization will maintain its identity and wants to maintain its BQ-9000 certification, the acquiring organization must inform the NBAC Program Manager in writing of this request. If either entity is a member of the National Biodiesel Board they must also complete the Name Change or Company Merger Notification form and submit it to the Chief Operating Officer of the NBB, and must submit the Name Change Fee to the NBAC. Should this be the case, both entities will exist under their respective BQ-9000 certifications, and from a BQ-9000 perspective, they will be treated as separate and independent entities for audit scheduling.

In the second scenario, if the acquiring entity is not a BQ-9000 organization, and the entity being acquired is BQ-9000 certified, there are two possible cases: one where the existing BQ-9000 quality system is maintained and one where it is not.

If the entity being acquired retains its original quality management system and the quality management team will remain (or remain for a sufficient time) to train a new quality management team, the certification is maintained under the following provisions. The acquiring entity must inform the BQ-9000 Program Manager in writing that the acquired BQ-9000 organization's quality system and quality management team will continue. If the acquired entity is a member of the National Biodiesel Board they must also complete the Name Change or Company Merger Notification form and submit it to the Chief Operating Officer of the NBB, and must submit the Name Change Fee to the NBAC.

If the non-BQ9000 organization does not adsorb the BQ-9000 organization's quality system and its quality management team, then the acquired BQ-9000 organization is no longer considered BQ-9000 certified.

In the third scenario, the organization being acquired may achieve BQ9000 certification via the process described in the NBAC BQ-9000 Application Package. The acquired entity may be eligible for expedited recognition as a BQ-9000 certified organization under the Provisional Status process.

BQ-9000 Producer Policy Regulations

This is outlined in the Policies on Producer Provisional Status and Marketer Provisional Status. There is not yet a provisional policy for Laboratories.

8.3 Fees and Payments

The additional number of audits and audit costs when mergers occur are described in the BQ-9000 website under Program Costs.

Appendix Part A PRE-AUDIT LETTER

Date: (90 days from audit due date)

Dear (Client),

Our records show that you are scheduled for a BQ-9000 (*Producer, Marketer, Laboratory*) (*Surveillance, Recertification*) Audit with our BQ-9000 Auditor (*Auditor Name*) on (*Audit Date*). The BQ-9000 program requirements specify that you must complete an internal audit within the last 12 months of your upcoming audit date; and you must also complete at least two management review meetings in this same time frame, with one of the two management review meetings sometime after your internal audit. We are requesting that you email a copy of your most recent internal audit report and a copy of the two most recent management review meeting notes to our auditor at least two weeks prior to the audit date. If you have any problems with this audit date or with these pre-audit requirements please contact your auditor immediately or contact Desiree Hale (DHale@biodiesel.org), at the National Biodiesel Board.

If during this (*Surveillance, Recertification*) Audit, the BQ-9000 Auditor determines there are one or more non-conformances, these will be reviewed with your Quality Team at the Audit Closing meeting. Within 30 days after the audit date, you are required to submit to the Auditor an action plan for each nonconformance identified.

If one or more corrective action plans are rejected by the Auditor as being insufficient to address the nonconformance, the Auditor will request that these action plans be revised.

Within 60 days of the audit date, the Auditor will expect all corrective action plans to be completed; and the Auditor must receive emailed or mailed evidence of these corrections. If there are delays in closing all non-conformances that requires the Auditor having to spend time beyond the 60 day limit, you will be charged a fee of \$150 per hour by the Auditor to review late corrective actions. The Commission recognizes that there may be a specific nonconformance that cannot be completed within 60 days. We will take this into consideration if this is communicated to the Auditor at the time you submit your 30 day corrective action plans to the Auditor.

If after 60 days, the Auditor has received no communications from you pertaining to your action plans or corrective actions, you will receive a warning letter from the National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission stating that you must complete these requirements within the next 30 days or your (*certification will be suspended* – if a surveillance audit, or *request for recertification will be denied* – if a recertification audit).

When all corrective actions have been satisfactorily closed, the Auditor will write a report to the NBAC Commissioner describing the audit. The Commissioners will review the report and vote on your certification. If the Commissioners find that the number and significance of all non-conformances is a concern, they may vote to delay a certification decision until a follow-up audit is held after you have had the time to improve your quality management system.

We hope you realize that an effective internal audit, good management review meetings and an attention to detail should lead to a smooth trouble free audit.

Sincerely,

Program Chair National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission

Cc: Desiree Hale, (Auditor)

BQ-9000 RE-CERTIFICATION FORM

Company Nam	e:
Mailing Address:	
Is your facility a ☐ Yes	subsidiary of another company? □ No
If "Yes" please	identify the parent organization:
Mailing Address:	
Contact Person:	
E-mail:	
Telephone:	Fax:
Recertification	sought (circle as applicable):
	BQ-9000 Producer BQ-9000 Marketer BQ-9000 Lab
	please indicate the location of facilities or sites where the BQ-9000 s. Include the physical address:

Please submit a complete copy of your current Quality Manual and all related documents, which describe your Quality System.

Re-certification forms are to be submitted to:

National Biodiesel Accreditation Commission P.O. Box 104898 Jefferson City, Missouri 65110-4898 (573) 635-3893 Desiree Hale at dhale@biodiesel.org